WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SKBJANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	Inspector:	MIC	<u> </u>		
lime:	Veather Conditions: - O	1vg +	- Hot	-	
	,	Yes	No		Notes
CR.I	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.				
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing				-
	CCR?	.		T' .	
2	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	i	L		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.	į.	C		
CRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))	·	-l	
<u>4</u> _	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.		L		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?		-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	·			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?		-		-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.			-	-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.				
LO.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	-			
		1	l l		

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB BANSING LANDFILL Inspector Weather Conditions: Yes. No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? 3_ Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? 6_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7_ Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on Iandfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. IO_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11. Were the citizen complaints logged?

•
<u></u>
•
_

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT
SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date:_	1-11-23 Inspector.	my	MAY		
Time:_	7:40 Weather Conditions: - Or	٦	70		
		. Yes	No	1.	Notes
CCRL	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.8	49			
I_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	Ť			<u> </u>
1	localized settlement observed on the	 			
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		1	1	
	CCR7			<u>'</u>	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells				
	containing CCR or within the general landfill				
	operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	<u> </u>		 	
5-	within the general landfill operations that	i-		ļ	
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of				
	the CCR management operations.				
	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	<u>9)</u>			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting			· .	
	period? If answer is no, no additional				
	information required				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		_		
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?				
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	•			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		1		•
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				
	landfill access roads?		_		
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the				
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe	•			
9.	corrective action measures below.				
J-	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no,	·			
	describe recommended changes below.		1		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
1.0-	complaints received during the reporting	- 1			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question		1		
II.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				
	oro are erasen combianitz rogger.				

Additional Notes:

M11 1825

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB/LANSING LANDEDLL _ Weather Conditions: Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257-84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6_ If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? ブ<u>_</u> Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on Iandfill access roads? 8_ Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question Were the citizen complaints logged? II.

Additional Notes:		
•		
	:	